

**From:** [Mitchell, Tanya](#)  
**To:** [Fajardo, Juan](#); [Sivak, Michael](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Rolling Knolls Requested Map and Location Information  
**Date:** Monday, August 03, 2015 12:38:36 PM

---

Juan,  
Michael's calendar also show that he is out tomorrow so, I scheduled the meeting for Wednesday at 11:00. Is that good for you?

---

**From:** Fajardo, Juan  
**Sent:** Monday, August 03, 2015 12:37 PM  
**To:** Mitchell, Tanya; Sivak, Michael  
**Subject:** RE: Rolling Knolls Requested Map and Location Information  
I have a conf. call on the Passaic tomorrow from 10:30 to at least 12:00.

---

**From:** Mitchell, Tanya  
**Sent:** Monday, August 03, 2015 12:19 PM  
**To:** Fajardo, Juan; Sivak, Michael  
**Subject:** FW: Rolling Knolls Requested Map and Location Information

Hello All,  
I received the following response from ARCADIS regarding why they did not recon all of the samples. I am not in agreement with their interpretation of the call and statement that during the call it was not their intent to recon all of the sampling locations. It was clear on the call and in the conference notes that ARCADIS would recon all of the sampling locations. Hence, the discussion on the call to give them two weeks to recon the locations.  
I think we should discuss how we should respond. I will check calendars for tomorrow. Also, should we include NJDEP in this discussion?  
Thanks,  
Tanya

---

**From:** Walls (Young), Suzy [<mailto:Suzy.Walls@arcadis-us.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, August 03, 2015 11:59 AM  
**To:** Mitchell, Tanya  
**Cc:** Draikiwicz, Michael; ' ([pete.bergeron@chevron.com](mailto:pete.bergeron@chevron.com))'; Gary M. Fisher; Bergsten, Linda; John Persico ([johnlpersico@gmail.com](mailto:johnlpersico@gmail.com)); McKenzie, Jill; Sivak, Michael; Ricci, Richard F.; [mfaigen@issuesllc.com](mailto:mfaigen@issuesllc.com); Guthertz, Andrew  
**Subject:** RE: Rolling Knolls Requested Map and Location Information

Tanya,  
Sorry if our notes from the conference call caused any confusion. Our intent was to use the NJDEP's table to help determine which sample locations we needed to visit, whether it be some or all of them.

We reviewed the NJDEP's rationale for their sample locations when we received their table. Based on that review, we concluded that many of the sample locations did not need to be visited because either the locations were accessible and we planned to collect the sample as proposed by NJDEP, or we believed the sample should not be collected for reasons that were not based on the sample's specific location. Therefore, we did not need to visit those proposed locations.

One day was enough to visit the locations where we wanted to verify accessibility and/or whether the sample was in a low area as NJDEP desired. Some of the locations were not in the best locations to achieve NJDEP's goal of observing constituents that might have flowed off the landfill. We have identified proposed alternative locations for those samples based on the field review.

We will send you a summary of the proposed locations (table with our comments on locations, and maps showing the proposed locations) by tomorrow.

Thanks,

Suzy

**Suzy Walls** | Senior Ecologist / Certified Project Manager | [suzy.walls@arcadis-us.com](mailto:suzy.walls@arcadis-us.com)

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. | 114 Lovell Road, Suite 202 | Knoxville, TN, 37934

T. 865.777.3502 | M. 919.455.5413 | F. 865.675.6712

[www.arcadis-us.com](http://www.arcadis-us.com)

---

**From:** Mitchell, Tanya [<mailto:Mitchell.Tanya@epa.gov>]

**Sent:** Wednesday, July 29, 2015 11:31 AM

**To:** Walls (Young), Suzy

**Cc:** Draikiwicz, Michael; ' ([pete.bergeron@chevron.com](mailto:pete.bergeron@chevron.com))'; Gary M. Fisher; John Persico ([johnlpersico@gmail.com](mailto:johnlpersico@gmail.com)); McKenzie, Jill; Sivak, Michael

**Subject:** Rolling Knolls Requested Map and Location Information

**Confirmation of this email is requested.**

Hi Suzy,

During our conference call on June 30, “*ARCADIS stated that upon receipt of the table, it would go to the site and attempt to field-locate each sample location.*” ARCADIS and EPA oversight personnel performed reconnaissance of several NJDEP-proposed surface soil samples along the perimeter of the landfill to evaluate their suitability to accomplishing objectives (in general, sampling low lying/depositional environments to provide delineation of soil that may be impacted by landfill water transport of contaminants off the landfill).

It has been reported that ARCADIS allotted only one day for reconnaissance of the sample locations and it was predetermined before arriving at the site that not all of the sample locations would be attempted. This approach appears to be inconsistent to what was agreed to on the June 30 conference call.

If ARCADIS plans to move and/or consolidate locations to achieve objectives, or propose that certain locations not within drainage from landfill be eliminated, what supporting reconnaissance information will be used for support, if several of the sampling locations were never attempted.

A reply is requested within three days of receipt of this email.

Should you have any questions or concerns regarding this email, please notify me upon receipt of this email.

Regards,

Tanya

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are the property of ARCADIS U.S., Inc., and its affiliates. All rights, including without limitation copyright, are reserved. The proprietary information contained in this e-mail message, and any files transmitted with it, is intended for the use of the recipient(s) named above. If the reader of the e-mail is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this e-mail in error and that any review, distribution or copying of this e-mail or any files transmitted with it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately.